



PREMIER
American Uranium

RESHAPING AMERICA'S URANIUM LANDSCAPE

Purpose Built to Revitalize US Domestic Uranium Production

INVESTOR PRESENTATION | MAY 2025

TSXV: PUR | OTCQB: PAUIF
www.premierur.com

Information Contained In This Presentation

This presentation (the “presentation”) has been prepared by Premier American Uranium Inc. (“Premier American Uranium”, “PUR” or the “company”) solely for informational purposes. None of PUR, its affiliates or any of their respective employees, directors, officers, contractors, advisors, members, successors, representatives, or agents makes any representation or warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of any information contained in this presentation and shall have no liability for any representations (expressed or implied) contained in, or for any omissions from, this presentation. This presentation shall not constitute an offer, nor a solicitation of an offer, of the sale or purchase of securities. This presentation does not constitute an offering of securities of PUR and under no circumstances is it to be construed as a prospectus or advertisement or public offering of securities.

No securities regulatory authority or similar authority has reviewed or in any way passed comment upon the document or the merits of the company’s securities and any representation to the contrary is an offence. Except where otherwise indicated, the information contained in this presentation has been prepared by PUR and is given as of the date hereof. The delivery of this presentation shall not imply that the information herein is correct as of any date after the date hereof.

All estimates in this presentation, except for the Cebolleta Project, are “historical estimates” and are not considered current by the Company in accordance with National Instrument 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (“NI 43-101”).

Market and Industry Data

This presentation includes market and industry data that has been obtained from third party sources, including industry publications. PUR believes that the industry data is accurate and that the estimates and assumptions are reasonable, but there is no assurance as to the accuracy or completeness of this data. Third party sources generally state that the information contained therein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but there is no assurance as to the accuracy or completeness of included information. Although the data is believed to be reliable, PUR has not independently verified any of the data from third party sources referred to in this presentation or ascertained the underlying economic assumptions relied upon by such sources. References in this presentation to reports and publications should not be construed as depicting the complete findings of the entire referenced report or publication. PUR does not make any representation as to the accuracy of such information.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-looking Information

This presentation contains “forward-looking information” within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities laws. Forward-looking information includes, but is not limited to, information with respect to the company’s strategy, plans or future financial or operating performance, and intended exploration and advancements at the company’s properties; expectations with respect to defining mineral resources or mineral reserves on any of the projects; expectations with respect to any permitting, development or other work that may be required to bring any of the projects into production and any expectation that any of the projects can be brought back into production rapidly or expeditiously; the anticipated management team and board of directors of PUR; expectations regarding the U.S. uranium industry; expectations as to future exploration potential for any of the projects; any expectation as to the outcome or success of any proposed programs for any of the projects; any expectation that market conditions will warrant future production from any of the projects and other activities, events or developments that the company expects or anticipates will or may occur in the future. Generally, but not always, forward looking information and statements can be identified by the use of words such as “plans”, “expects”, “is expected”, “budget”, “scheduled”, “estimates”, “forecasts”, “intends”, “anticipates”, or “believes” or the negative connotation thereof or variations of such words and phrases or statement that certain actions, events or results “may”, “could”, “would”, “might” or “will be taken”, “occur” or “be achieved” or the negative connotation thereof.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-looking Information (continued)

Forward-looking information is based on PUR's current expectations, beliefs, assumptions, estimates and forecasts about the company's business and the industry and markets in which it operates. Such forward information and statements are based on numerous assumptions, including among others, the availability of financing; the accuracy of previous exploration records and results; that the results of planned exploration activities are as anticipated; the cost of planned exploration activities; that third party contractors, equipment and supplies and governmental and other approvals required to conduct the company's planned exploration and development activities will be available on reasonable terms and in a timely manner; and that general business and economic conditions will not change in a material adverse manner. Although the assumptions made by the company in providing forward looking information or making forward-looking statements are considered reasonable by management at the time, there can be no assurance that such assumptions will prove to be accurate.

Forward-looking information and statements also involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties and other factors, which may cause actual results, performances and achievements of PUR to differ materially from any projections of results, performances and achievements of PUR expressed or implied by such forward-looking information or statements. These factors include the costs associated with bringing any of the projects back into production; no known mineral reserves or resources; risks that historical mineral estimates can be updated and be verified to be current mineral resources or mineral reserves; permitting and regulatory delays; litigation risks; competition from others; market factors, including future demand for and prices realized from the sale of uranium and vanadium; government actions that could restrict or eliminate the ability to mine on public lands, such as through the creation or expansion of national monuments or through mineral withdrawals; the policies and actions of foreign governments, which could impact the competitive supply of and global markets for uranium and vanadium; the company's expectations in connection with the production and exploration, development and expansion plans at the projects discussed herein being met; changes in national and local government legislation, taxation, controls or regulations and/or changes in the administration or laws, policies and practices; the impact of general business and economic conditions; fluctuating metal prices; currency exchange rates; the impact of inflation; general risks of the mining industry; failure of plant, equipment or processes to operate as anticipated; unanticipated results of future studies; seasonality and unanticipated weather changes; success of exploration activities, permitting timelines, government regulation; environmental risks; unanticipated reclamation expenses; title disputes or claims.

Although the company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual actions, events or results to differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking information or implied by forward-looking information, there may be other factors that cause results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that forward-looking information and statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated, estimated or intended. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements or information. The company undertakes no obligation to update or reissue forward-looking information as a result of new information or events except as required by applicable securities laws.

The footnotes and appendices to this presentation contain important information.

Technical Disclosure and Qualified Person

Dean T. Wilton: PG, CPG, MAIG, a consultant of PUR who is a "Qualified Person", as defined in NI 43-101.

The data disclosed in this presentation, except for Cebolleta and Cyclone, is related to historical drilling results. PUR has not undertaken any independent investigation of the sampling, nor has it independently analyzed the results of the historical exploration work in order to verify the results. PUR considers these historical drill results relevant as the Company is using this data as a guide to plan exploration programs. The Company's current and future exploration work includes verification of the historical data through drilling.

For additional information regarding PUR's Cebolleta project please refer to the Technical Report entitled "Cebolleta Uranium Project Cibola County, New Mexico, USA – effective date April 30, 2024, prepared by SLR International Corporation., available under PUR's profile on www.sedarplus.ca. The "qualified person" for this technical report is Mark B. Mathisen, C.P.G., Principal Geologist, SLR Consulting International Corp. Mr. Mathisen is a "qualified person" under NI 43-101.

BUILT FOR GROWTH

A disciplined & opportunistic strategy of capital allocation



ACQUIRE

Continue to evaluate accretive M&A opportunities in the U.S.




EXPLORE

Define resources and make new discoveries across portfolio



DEVELOP

Advance pipeline of past producing assets with historical resources




COMPANY SNAPSHOT

CAPITAL STRUCTURE	
Share Price (May 8, 2025)	C\$1.08
Basic Shares Outstanding ¹	45.9M
Options ²	3.9M
Warrants ³	8.3M
RSUs	0.1M
FD Shares Outstanding	58.2M
Market Capitalization (Basic)	C\$49.6M
Cash and Equivalents ⁴	C\$3.8M

1. Based on public company disclosure as of December 31, 2024 and reflects 15,763,397 common shares and ~11,140 compressed shares outstanding.
2. Options outstanding with expiry dates ranging from August 14, 2025 to July 30, 2029 at an average price of C\$1.97.
3. Warrants outstanding, with expiry dates ranging from January 31, 2025 to December 26, 2026 and strike prices with an average strike price of 1.97
4. As of December 31, 2024 (US\$2.791,462 converted at C\$1.38)


ANALYST COVERAGE			
Firm	Analyst	Rating	Target
Beacon Securities	Michael Curran	SPEC BUY	\$4.00
HoldCo Markets	-		\$3.00
Red Cloud Securities	Dave Talbot	BUY	-



CONSOLIDATION: A PROVEN STRATEGY

PUR was built by a team that has done it before

+2,300% RETURN



MEGA URANIUM (Jan 2005 to Dec 2006)


Uranium price from \$20.50 to \$66.50

Completed 9 Acquisitions

Raised +\$50m

Market cap increased from \$15m to \$940m

+1,300% RETURN



CONSOLIDATED URANIUM (Mar 2020 to Dec 2023)

Uranium price from \$27.40 to \$82.30

Completed 12 acquisitions

Completed spin-out of Latitude Uranium and Premier American Uranium. Merged with IsoEnergy.

Raised +\$90m

Market cap increased from \$2m to ~\$204m

1. Based on public disclosure, see "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information"

URANIUM: RESURGENCE IN THE U.S.

Unprecedented support for nuclear, driven by energy security and transition to clean energy

Recent historic series of actions sending a clear message that the U.S. is committed to long-term growth in its nuclear sector

Big Tech is Leading the Acceleration in Clean Energy Demand



Google signed a deal to buy power from SMRs based on the Kairos Power design targeting first power by 2030



Oracle is designing an AI data centre planned to be powered by three Small Modular Reactors



AWS purchased a data centre site from Talen Energy to be 100% powered by adjacent nuclear plant.



Meta announced an RFP seeking vendors that can deliver between 1 to 4 gigawatts of nuclear energy in the US by early 2030s.



Microsoft signed a 20-year power purchase agreement with Constellation Energy to restart Unit 2 at Three Mile Island targeting 2028

2040

Prohibiting Russian Uranium Imports Act signed into law banning low enriched uranium to the end of 2040

\$4.2B

U.S., Canada, France, Japan & U.K. to invest \$4.2 billion to secure a reliable global nuclear energy supply chain

COP29

31 Countries have now signed a Commitment to Triple Nuclear Power Output by 2050, led by the U.S.

\$2.7B

Federal funding appropriated at the President's request to jumpstart new enrichment capacity the U.S.




January 2025, executive order invoked to fast-track domestic uranium development, cutting red tape and boosting investment in strategic projects.

See appendix for sources

THREE OF THE TOP URANIUM DISTRICTS IN THE U.S.


NEW MEXICO GRANTS MINERAL BELT



+347M lbs U_3O_8 produced (37% of all U.S. historical production)¹

4th largest uranium district in the world


WYOMING GREAT DIVIDE BASIN



+230M lbs of U_3O_8 produced in Wyoming since first discovery²

One of the least exploited basins in Wyoming

COLORADO URAVAN MINERAL BELT



+80M lbs U_3O_8 and +400M lbs V_2O_5 produced since 1945³


Ranked 5th in Investment Attractiveness (2022)⁴

1. Uranium resources in the Grants uranium district, New Mexico: An update Virginia T. McLemore, Brad Hill, Niranjana Khalsa, and Susan A. Lucas Kamat 2013
2. Wyoming State Geological Survey; Critical Minerals in Wyoming; <https://www.wsgs.wyo.gov/minerals/critical-minerals.aspx>
3. Chenoweth, William L., 1981, "The Uranium-Vanadium Deposits of the Uravan Mineral Belt and Adjacent Areas, Colorado and Utah. In New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook 32, Western Slope, Colorado" and Goodnight, Craig S., William L. Chenoweth, Richard D. Davyault and Edward T. Cotter, 2005: "Geologic Road Log for Uravan Mineral Belt Field Trip, West-Central, Colorado" Rocky Mountain Section of the Geological Society of America.
4. www.fraserinstitute.org/sites/default/files/annual-survey-of-mining-companies-2022.pdf

CEBOLLETA PROJECT, NEW MEXICO

Transformative 2025 with planned resource update and Preliminary Economic Assessment

- **Current Mineral Resource Estimate (April 2024) - 80% 'Indicated':**
 - 18.6 M lb U_3O_8 (6.6 M tons @ 0.14% U_3O_8) Indicated
 - 4.9 M lb U_3O_8 (2.6 M tons @ 0.10% U_3O_8) Inferred
- **Upcoming Milestones: Targeting Updated Mineral Resource Estimate (MRE) and Preliminary Economic Assessment (PEA) in early summer 2025** to demonstrate economic potential and guide future resource expansion drilling.
- **Strategic Focus: Transitioning toward development by prioritizing MRE/PEA ahead of costly field work**, deferring over \$2M in spending and transition towards development.
- **Location:** Located on the eastern edge of the Grants Mineral Belt, ~100 km west of Albuquerque - 100% lease-hold interest in 6,717 acres of mineral rights and 5,700 acres of surface rights, year-round access.
- **Historical Production of 3.8M lbs U_3O_8 ²** (1950s through 1980s):
 - 1.6 M lb from St. Anthony area from 1975 to 1979
 - 2.2 M lb from the Area II and V deposits (899K tons grading 0.123% U_3O_8)



1. The Jackpile-Paguete Uranium Mine, Grants Uranium District: Changes in perspectives from production to superfund site Virginia T. McLemore, Bonnie A. Frey, Ellane El Hayek, Eshani Hettiarachchi, Reid Brown, Olivia Chavez, Shaylene Paul, and Milton Das
 2. See NI 43-101 Technical Report on the Cebolleta Uranium Project Cibola County, New Mexico, USA – effective date April 30, 2024, prepared by SLR International Corporation

CEBOLLETA PROJECT, NEW MEXICO

Shallow deposits with current mineral resources

- 2024 Mineral Resource Estimate:
 - **Indicated: 18.6 M lb U₃O₈** (6.6 M tons @ 0.14% U₃O₈)
 - **Underground: 13.4 M lb U₃O₈** (average grade of 0.208% U₃O₈)
 - **Open Pit: 5.2 M lb U₃O₈** (average grade of 0.078% U₃O₈)
 - **Inferred: 4.9 M lb U₃O₈** (2.6 M tons @ 0.10% U₃O₈)
 - **Underground: 2.6 M lb U₃O₈** (average grade of 0.135% U₃O₈)
 - **Open Pit: 2.3 M lb U₃O₈** (average grade of 0.072% U₃O₈)
- Eight relatively shallow sandstone hosted uranium deposits contemplated in a mix of underground and open pit scenarios ranging in depth from 60 m to 213 m
- 3,594 historical drill holes totaling 569,000 m (\$75M of historical expenditures)
- Potential remains to expand known deposit footprint, and update mineral resource with additional drilling and completing a PEA

Notes:

- CIM (2014) definitions were followed for Mineral Resources.
- Mineral Resources are estimated at a cut-off grade of 0.072% eU₃O₈ for underground based on Deswik MSO stope shapes and 0.024% eU₃O₈ for open pit using Whittle pit optimization.
- Mineral Resources are estimated using a long-term uranium price of US\$80/lb U₃O₈.
- Mineral Resources have been depleted based on past reported production numbers from the underground JJ#1 and Climax M6 mines.
- A minimum mining width of two feet was used.
- Tonnage Factor is 16 ft³/st (Density is 0.0625 st/ft³ or 2.00 t/m³).
- Numbers may not add due to rounding.


See NI 43-101 Technical Report on the Cebolleta Uranium Project Cibola County, New Mexico, USA – effective date April 30, 2024, prepared by SLR International Corporation

Mineral Resources – Effective Date of April 30, 2024							
Classification	Zone	Grade Cut-off (% eU ₃ O ₈)	Tonnage (Million st)	Grade (% eU ₃ O ₈)	Contained Metal (Million lb eU ₃ O ₈)	AMPS Basis (%)	Recovery U ₃ O ₈ (%)
Underground							
Indicated	Area I	0.072	0.8	0.168	2.6	100	95
	Area II	0.072	2.3	0.193	8.7	100	95
	Area III	0.072	0.7	0.192	2.7	100	95
	Area IV	0.072	0.0	-	0.0	100	95
	Area V	0.072	0.4	0.208	1.6	100	95
Subtotal Indicated			4.1	0.189	15.6	100	95
Depletion JJ#1			-0.9	0.123	-2.2		
Total Indicated			3.2	0.208	13.4		
Inferred	Area I	0.072	0.2	0.118	0.4	100	95
	Area II	0.072	0.3	0.131	0.8	100	95
	Area III	0.072	0.2	0.156	0.6	100	95
	Area IV	0.072	0.1	0.105	0.3	100	95
	Area V	0.072	0.2	0.161	0.5	100	95
Total Inferred			1.0	0.135	2.6	100	95
Open Pit							
Indicated	St. Anthony North Pit	0.024	3.3	0.081	5.4	100	95
		0.024	0.1	0.084	0.2	100	95
Subtotal Indicated			3.4	0.081	5.5	100	95
Depletion Climax M6			-0.1	0.205	-0.3		
Total Indicated			3.3	0.078	5.2		
Inferred	St. Anthony North Pit	0.024	1.3	0.070	1.8	100	95
		0.024	0.3	0.078	0.5	100	95
Total Inferred			1.6	0.072	2.3	100	95

CEBOLLETA PROJECT, NEW MEXICO

Updated MRE and PEA planned for summer 2025 to provide framework for resource expansion drilling

- **Strong potential to increase resources¹:**
 - **Willie P area** - not included in current MRE, but known to be mineralized (subject of prior underground mining)
 - **Mineralization open on trend** - Mineralized horizons of the Jackpile sandstone remain open-ended and trend beyond the limits of the existing drilling grid, providing excellent targets
 - **Untested areas** - known mineralized zones but not yet comprehensively drilled
- **Westwater Canyon Member principal host rock in the Grants Mineral Belt hosts over 400M lbs², and is largely unexplored at Cebolleta:**
 - Exploration drilling by United Nuclear approximately 3 miles (4.8 km) east of the Cebolleta and St. Anthony area mines at the Piedra Lumbra area encountered Westwater Canyon-hosted uranium mineralization that has not been fully tested
 - Indicates large-scale exploration upside beneath known mineralization at Cebolleta



1. See NI 43-101 Technical Report on the Cebolleta Uranium Project Cibola County, New Mexico, USA – effective date April 30, 2024, prepared by SLR International Corporation
2. Uranium resources in the Grants uranium district, New Mexico: An update Virginia T. McLemore, Brad Hill, Niranjana Khalsa, and Susan A. Lucas Kamat 2013
3. See “Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information”

CYCLONE PROJECT, WYOMING

Significant land position with in-situ recovery (ISR) potential


- In the vicinity of Ur-Energy Inc.'s Lost Creek ISR uranium mine and other former uranium mining facilities
- 25,500 acres comprising: 1,061 claims totaling 21,220 acres and 7 state leases covering 4,280 acres
- ~80 holes drilled during 2007-2008
- Mineralization encountered in several holes, with typical grades and thicknesses to uranium deposits elsewhere in the Great Divide Basin
- Deposits hosted in flat-lying sandstones of Battle Spring Formation
- Wide-spread alteration of host sandstones, with numerous roll-front uranium deposits associated with altered rocks



CYCLONE PROJECT, WYOMING

Successful inaugural exploration drill program at Cyclone Rim Target


- Exploration drill program at Cyclone Rim designed to systematically investigate the resource potential.
- 41 RC drill holes totaling 20,990 ft completed.
- Results indicate the presence of uranium mineralization occurring along an apparent ½-mile long, east-west trend that has not yet been fully defined.
- Identified apparent >½ mile zone that appears to be open in multiple directions, with drill intercepts up to 0.088% eU₃O₈ over a thickness of 10.5 feet (Grade thickness (GT) of 0.92).



CYCLONE PROJECT, WYOMING

Initial drilling completed at Osborne Draw target in 2024 highlights strong potential

- Five preliminary drill holes (4,200 ft) were completed of the planned 36-hole program.
- Four of the five holes encountered uranium mineralization, and three of the drillholes encountered multiple mineralized intercepts, with individual drill intercepts of up to 0.021% eU_3O_8 over 24.5 ft for a GT of 0.51.
- The bulk of the drilling planned for Osborne Draw is expected to occur in 2025.



LEADERSHIP

Disciplined capital allocators with a strong track record in the uranium sector

BOARD OF DIRECTORS



Tim Rotolo, Chairman
Co-founder of Sachem
Cove. Founder of
URNM, sold to Sprott



Marty Tunney
COO IsoEnergy,
Mining Engineer




Daniel Nauth
Lawyer, specialized in
M&A and Corporate
Finance



Michael Harrison
Managing Partner
Sprott



Michael Henrichsen
Structural Geologist,
former Newmont



Jon Indall
Lawyer, specialized in
shaping federal energy
laws and policies

MANAGEMENT AND ADVISORS



Colin Healey, CEO
MBA, Mechanical Engineering
Technician, former uranium
analyst +20 years experience



Greg Duras, CFO
CPA, and public
company CFO
+20 years experience



Jason Atkinson,
Corp Dev
Corporate Finance and
M&A specialist
+10 years experience



Philip Williams,
Strategic Advisor
CEO IsoEnergy, former
CEO Consolidated Uranium
+20 years experience

See "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information"

TECHNICAL ADVISORS

Unparalleled experience in uranium exploration, development, permitting and operations



Ted Wilton
Geologist

**+50 years, including
+25 in uranium**
Involved in discovering
8 deposits with +10M oz Au in
U.S. and Australia.



Mike Nuemann
Environmental and
Regulatory Affairs

+40 years in uranium
Specialized in permitting in
U.S. and Kazakhstan, gained
regulatory approval for expansion
of Daneros, compliance for Tony
M, and Rim Mines in the U.S.



Josh Holland
Environmental and
Regulatory Affairs

**+20 years in uranium and
manufacturing**
Specialized permitting,
government relations, and
operations.



Tyler Johnson
Geologist

+15 years in uranium
Specialized in exploration,
mine development, and
resource estimation, formerly
with Denison and Energy
Fuels.



J.J. Brown
Geologist

**+25 years in multiple
commodities**
Specialized in field exploration,
including exploration program
design and oversight, and
technical reporting.



Mike Thompson
New Mexico,
Geologist

+18 years in uranium
Specialized in uranium
acquisitions, resource
development, and
environmental regulatory
compliance.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND KEY OBJECTIVES

2023

- ✓ Spin-out from Consolidated Uranium, now IsoEnergy
- ✓ Completed private placement of \$6.9M
- ✓ Commenced trading on the TSXV

2024 – 2025

- ✓ Announced acquisition of AMPS
- ✓ Commenced trading on the OTCQB marketplace
- ✓ Completed private placement of \$5.8M
- ✓ Updated Mineral Resource Estimate for Cebolleta, setting the stage for expansion drilling
- ✓ Strengthened Board, Management and Technical team with multiple appointments
- ✓ Completed acquisition of AMPS, bolstering leadership in the industry
- ✓ Completed inaugural drill program at Cyclone, Wyoming
 - Permitting, Exploration & Preliminary Economics (PEA) for Cebolleta, New Mexico
 - Second drill campaign at Cyclone ISR Project, Wyoming
 - Portfolio building M&A




PREMIER
American Uranium

APPENDIX

URANIUM: FAVOURABLE SUPPLY & DEMAND DYNAMICS


Renewed period of long-term contracting may be the primary driver for higher prices as utilities focus on security of supply

The size of the deficit will necessitate higher cost mines (like those in the U.S.) previously thought uneconomic.



Source: Canaccord Genuity estimates, Company Reports, WNA, TradeTech, UxC LLC

Despite lower volumes, the steady rise in term price signals stronger fundamentals as buyers accept higher levels on limited trades.




Source: Cameco, Canaccord Genuity *Uranium Report* / *Seeking Deep Value* (February 4, 2025)


URANIUM: OPPORTUNITY IN THE U.S.

19% OF U.S. ELECTRICITY COMES FROM NUCLEAR POWER

U.S. PRODUCTION & NUCLEAR % OF ELECTRICITY



U.S. PRODUCTION & REACTORS




URANIUM UNDERPINS
U.S. ENERGY, HEALTHCARE AND
MILITARY DOMINANCE


- Uranium plays a vital role in maintaining economic stability.
- **Without existing supplies**, the nation would lack a critical component that powers much of the naval fleet, and over 20 million medical procedures.
- 94 reactors operate in the U.S., **the most of any country.**
- In 2022 alone, 470 million metric tons of carbon emissions were avoided because of nuclear.

YET PRODUCTION IS DECLINING AND RELIANCE ON OTHERS IS INCREASING

U.S. UTILITY PURCHASES BY REGION AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL



U.S. MINE PRODUCTION (MN LBS U3O8)



1. U.S. Energy Information Administration: Form EIA-851A, *Domestic Uranium Production Report (Annual)*, and Form EIA-851Q, *Domestic Uranium Production Report (Quarterly)*
2. <https://www.nei.org/resources/fact-sheets/u-s-nuclear-plants#:~:text=Across%20the%20United%20States%2C%2092>
3. See "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information"

MONOGRAM MESA, COLORADO

Adjacent to multiple historic mines that produced nearly 5Mlbs

- 7,431 acres with 361 mining claims
- Multiple historic mines on the NE side and West
- Mines generally stable and dry, with numerous mineralized zones exposed
- Significant infrastructure surrounding the project including powerlines to the property, paved highway within miles of the property, mine roads crossing the property


Next Steps

- Exploration drilling program planned delineate mineralization
- Potential acquisition of surrounding properties consolidating area

Historical Production¹

Area	Tons Produced (short tons)	U3O8 Grade (% U3O8)	Pounds of U3O8	V2O5 Grade (% V2O5)	Pounds of V2O5
Monogram Mesa Mines	840,761	0.30	4,992,179	1.19	20,001,113

1. Nelson-Moore, James L, Donna Bishop Collins and A. L. Hornbaker, 1978; Radioactive Mineral Occurrences of Colorado, Colorado Geological Survey Bulletin 40, 1,054 pages, 18 figures, 3 tables, 12 plates.
 2. See "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information".



ATKINSON MESA, COLORADO

Most substantial uranium-vanadium production within the entire Uravan belt

- 5,863 acres comprising: 172 mining claims and 4 DOE leases.
- Land package includes patented (fee simple) mining claims on the Dolores Bench
- Several small-scale mines on the project
- Large-scale underground mine [the King Solomon mine] developed in 1975¹


Next Steps

- Acquire historical drilling and mine production data
- Undertake drilling program to confirm historical drill results and define the extent of mineralization in the central and northern parts of the properties

Historical Production¹

Area	Tons Produced (short tons)	U3O8 Grade (% U3O8)	Pounds of U3O8	V2O5 Grade (% V2O5)	Pounds of V2O5
King Solomon Complex	1,230,000	0.21	5,160,000	1.11	26,540,000

1. Goodnight, Chenoweth, Dayvault and Cotter, 2005: Geologic Road Log for Uravan Mineral belt Field Trip; Prepared for Geological Society of America 2005 Annual Meeting.
2. See "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information".



OUTLAW MESA AND SLICK ROCK, COLORADO

Multiple historic mines with exploration potential

- Outlaw Mesa - Total project covers 5,759 acres with 2 DOE leases.
- Slick Rock - Total project covers 1,226 acres with 2 DOE leases.
- Historic production from multiple mines, including the well known:
 - Slick Rock
 - Calamity Mines
- All leases contain uranium & vanadium mineralization


Next Steps

- New 10-year leases signed with the US Department of Energy in Jan 2020
- Data review and drill targeting.

Historical Production¹

Property	Tons (short)	Grade (%U3O8)	Pounds U3O8	Grade V2O5	Pounds V2O5
Slick Rock	434,300	0.34	2,953,600	1.30	11,333,800
Outlaw & Calamity Mesas	423,500	0.34	2,917,200	1.29	10,994,500

1. Nelson-Moore, James L, Donna Bishop Collins and A. L. Hornbaker, 1978; Radioactive Mineral Occurrences of Colorado, Colorado Geological Survey Bulletin 40, 1,054 pages, 18 figures, 3 tables, 12 plates.
 2. See "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information".



ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Sources for Slide 6

1. <https://www.congress.gov/bill/118th-congress/house-bill/1042>
2. <https://www.spglobal.com/commodityinsights/en/market-insights/latest-news/electric-power/120223-cop28-22-nations-pledge-to-triple-nuclear-generation-capacity-by-2050>
3. <https://www.energy.gov/articles/cop28-us-canada-france-japan-and-uk-announce-plans-mobilize-42-billion-reliable-global>
4. <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2024-03-03/us-reactor-fuel-makers-get-2-7-billion-boost-in-funding-bill>
5. <https://thebulletin.org/2024/12/ai-goes-nuclear/#:~:text=The%20sudden%20interest%20in%20nuclear,AI's%20%E2%80%9Ccrazy%E2%80%9D%20energy%20demands.>
6. <https://www.cnbc.com/2024/09/10/oracle-is-designing-a-data-center-that-would-be-powered-by-three-small-nuclear-reactors.html?msockid=0598c2735fb06bba130dd6255e406ab7>
7. <https://electrek.co/2024/03/05/amazon-just-bought-a-100-nuclear-powered-data-center/>
8. <https://www.utilitydive.com/news/meta-seeks-up-to-4-gw-of-new-nuclear-power-to-help-meet-ai-sustainability/734599/#:~:text=from%20your%20inbox.-,Meta%20seeks%20up%20to%204%20GW%20of%20new%20nuclear%20power,early%202030s%2C%20it%20said%20Tuesday.&text=The%20Three%20Mile%20Island%20nuclear,Retrieved%20from%20Marque1313.&text=This%20audio%20is%20auto%2Dgenerated,know%20if%20you%20have%20feedback>
9. <https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/cx25v2d7zexo>

Additional Details for Slide 10-12

1. As determined by BRS Engineering, sufficient historical exploration data is available for the North and East claim blocks to define an exploration target, which shows a range of 6.5 million short tons averaging 0.06% U₃O₈ (7.9 million lbs. U₃O₈) to 10.5 million short tons averaging 0.06% U₃O₈ (12.6 million lbs. U₃O₈). The potential quantity and grade of this exploration target is conceptual in nature and based on the geologic interpretation that mineralization is Sandstone Type mineralization, aerial radiometric anomalies, and indications of the presence of oxidation reduction interfaces with mineralization from available drill data. There has been insufficient exploration to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if a mineral resource will be delineated. For the definition of the exploration target, the following criteria based on direct knowledge and experience in the area and similar sandstone hosted uranium deposits in Wyoming was used: (i) a minimum cut-off grade of 0.02% U₃O₈ and a grade thickness product (GT) of 0.10, (ii) a radiometric disequilibrium factor of 1, and (iii) a bulk density of 16 cubic feet per ton.

Contact Us



PREMIER
American Uranium

Premier American Uranium



1-833-223-4673



info@premierur.com



@PremierAUranium



linkedin.com/company/premier-american-uranium



www.premierur.com